

RESEARCH

Open Access

Numerical solution of a singularly perturbed Volterra integro-differential equation

Sebaheddin Şevgin*

*Correspondence:
ssevgin@yahoo.com
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Sciences, Yuzuncu Yil
University, Van, 65080, Turkey

Abstract

We study the convergence properties of a difference scheme for singularly perturbed Volterra integro-differential equations on a graded mesh. We show that the scheme is first-order convergent in the discrete maximum norm, independently of the perturbation parameter. Numerical experiments are presented, which are in agreement with the theoretical results.

MSC: 45J05; 65R20; 65L11

Keywords: singular perturbation; Volterra integro-differential equations; difference scheme; uniform convergence; graded mesh

1 Introduction

Singularly perturbed Volterra integro-differential equations arise in many physical and biological problems. Among these are diffusion-dissipation processes, epidemic dynamics, synchronous control systems, and filament stretching problems (see, e.g., [1–4]). For extensive reviews, see [1, 3–8].

Singularly perturbed differential equations are typically characterized by a small parameter ε multiplying some or all of the highest-order terms in the differential equations. The difficulties arising in the numerical solutions of singularly perturbed problems are well known. A comprehensive review of the literature on numerical methods for singularly perturbed differential equations may be found in [8–12].

This paper is concerned with the following singularly perturbed Volterra integro-differential equation:

$$Lu := \varepsilon u'(t) + f(t, u(t)) + \int_0^t K(t, s, u(s)) ds = 0, \quad t \in I := [0, T], \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(0) = A, \quad (1.2)$$

where $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ is the perturbation parameter, $f(t, u)$ ($(t, u) \in I \times \mathbb{R}$) and $K(t, s, u)$ ($(t, s, u) \in I \times I \times \mathbb{R}$) are sufficiently smooth functions, A is a given constant and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \geq \alpha > 0$. By substituting $\varepsilon = 0$ in (1.1), we obtain the reduced equation

$$f(t, u_r(t)) + \int_0^t K(t, s, u_r(s)) ds = 0,$$

which is a Volterra integral equation of the second kind. The singularly perturbed nature of (1.1) occurs when the properties of the solution with $\varepsilon > 0$ are incompatible with those when $\varepsilon = 0$. The interest here is in those problems which do imply such an incompatibility in the behavior of u in a neighborhood of $t = 0$. This suggests the existence of an initial layer near the origin where the solution undergoes a rapid transition.

A special class of singularly perturbed integro-differential-algebraic equations and singularly perturbed integro-differential systems has been solved by Kauthen [13, 14] by implicit Runge-Kutta methods. A survey of the existing literature on a singularly perturbed Volterra integral and integro-differential equations is given by Kauthen [15]. The exponential scheme that has a fourth-order accuracy when the perturbation parameter ε is fixed is derived and a stability analysis of this scheme is discussed in [16]. The numerical discretization of singularly perturbed Volterra integro-differential equations and Volterra integral equations by tension spline collocation methods in certain tension spline spaces are considered in [17]. For the numerical solution of singularly perturbed Volterra integro-differential equations, we have studied the following articles: [18–21].

Our goal is to construct an ε -numerical method for solving (1.1)-(1.2), by which we mean a numerical method which generates ε -uniformly convergent numerical approximations to the solution. For this, we use a finite difference scheme on an appropriate graded mesh which are dense in the initial layer. Graded meshes are dependent on ε and mesh points have to be condensed in a neighborhood of $t = 0$ in order to resolve the initial layer. In graded meshes, basically half of the mesh points are concentrated in a $O(\varepsilon |\ln \varepsilon|)$ neighborhood of the point $t = 0$ and the remaining half forms a uniform mesh on the rest of $[0, T]$ (see [10, 11, 22]).

In [23], the authors gave a uniformly convergent numerical method with respect to ε on a uniform mesh for the numerical solution of a linear singularly perturbed Volterra integro-differential equation. However, in this study, we will derive a uniformly convergent ε -numerical method on a graded mesh for the numerical solution of a nonlinear singularly perturbed Volterra integro-differential equation. This is the aspect of the problem of this paper that is different from [23] and the others.

The outline of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, the properties of the problem (1.1), (1.2) are given. In Section 3, the difference scheme constructed on the non-uniform mesh for the numerical solution (1.1), (1.2) is presented and graded mesh is introduced. Stability and convergence of the difference scheme are investigated in Section 4 and error of the difference scheme is evaluated in Section 5. Finally numerical results are presented in Section 6.

Let us now introduce some notation. Let

$$\omega_N = \{0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{N-1} < t_N = T\}, \quad \varpi_N = \omega_N \cup \{t = 0\},$$

be the non-uniform mesh on $[0, T]$. For each $i \geq 1$ we set the step size $h_i = t_i - t_{i-1}$.

Here and throughout the paper we use the notation

$$v_{i,i} = \frac{v_i - v_{i-1}}{h_i},$$

$v_i = v(t_i)$, for any continuous function $v(t)$.

In our estimates, we use the maximum norm given by

$$\|v\|_{\infty} = \max_{[0,T]} |v(t)|.$$

For any discrete function v_i , we also define the corresponding discrete norm by

$$\|v\|_{\infty, \omega_h} \equiv \|v\|_{\infty} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |v_i|.$$

Throughout the paper, C will denote a generic positive constant that is independent of ε and the mesh parameter.

2 The continuous problem

In this section, we study the behavior of the solution of (1.1)-(1.2) and its first derivative which are required for the analysis of the remainder term in the next sections when the error of the difference scheme is analyzed.

Lemma 2.1 *Suppose that $f(t, u)$ and $K(t, s, u)$ have continuous partial derivatives with respect to u , respectively, on $I \times \mathbb{R}$ and $I \times I \times \mathbb{R}$ and have uniformly bounded first partial derivatives in ε . Then the solution $u(x)$ of problem (1.1)-(1.2) satisfies the inequalities*

$$\|u\|_{\infty} \leq C, \quad (2.1)$$

$$|u'(t)| \leq C \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha t}{\varepsilon} \right) \right), \quad t \in I. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof The analysis of the convergence properties of numerical method that will be obtained and the study of the behavior of the solution of (1.1)-(1.2) with its first derivative will necessarily involve the linearization of the given problem using the mean value theorem for several variables (see [24]). Hence, we obtain

$$\varepsilon u'(t) + p(t)u(t) + \int_0^t G(t, s)u(s) ds = q(t), \quad t \in I, \quad u(0) = A, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$p(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f(t, \theta u), \quad 0 < \theta < 1,$$

$$G(t, s) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} K(t, s, \gamma u), \quad 0 < \gamma < 1$$

and

$$q(t) = -f(t, 0) - \int_0^t K(t, s, 0) ds.$$

We show the validity of (2.1). For the solution of the problem (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = & u(0) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t p(\eta) d\eta \right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t q(\xi) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\xi}^t q(\eta) d\eta \right) d\xi \\ & - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \left[\int_0^{\xi} G(\xi, s)u(s) ds \right] \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\xi}^t q(\eta) d\eta \right) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

and from this we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t)| &\leq |u(0)| \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t p(\eta) d\eta\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t |q(\xi)| \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_\xi^t p(\eta) d\eta\right) d\xi \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \left[\int_0^\xi |G(\xi, s)| |u(s)| ds \right] \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_\xi^t q(\eta) d\eta\right) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

If $M = \max_{I \times I} |G(t, s)|$, then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t)| &\leq |A| \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha t}{\varepsilon}\right) + \alpha^{-1} \|q\|_\infty \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha t}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} M \int_0^t \left[\int_0^\xi |u(s)| ds \right] \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha(t-\xi)}{\varepsilon}\right) d\xi \\ &\leq |A| \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha t}{\varepsilon}\right) + \alpha^{-1} \|q\|_\infty \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha t}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \\ &\quad + M \alpha^{-1} \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha t}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \int_0^t |u(s)| ds \\ &\leq |A| + \alpha^{-1} \|q\|_\infty + \alpha^{-1} M \int_0^t |u(s)| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then, applying the Gronwall inequality to the last estimate, we obtain

$$|u(t)| \leq (|A| + \alpha^{-1} \|q\|_\infty) \exp(\alpha^{-1} M t),$$

which proves (2.1).

To prove (2.2), differentiating equation (1.1) we have

$$\varepsilon v'(t) + b(t)v(t) = c(t), \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$u'(t) = v(t), \quad b(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f(t, u)$$

and

$$c(t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial u} f(t, u(t)) - K(t, t, u(t)) - \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} K(t, s, u(s)) ds.$$

By using (1.1), we can obtain

$$|v(0)| = |u'(0)| \leq \varepsilon^{-1} |f(0, A)| \leq C \varepsilon^{-1}. \quad (2.5)$$

It follows from (2.4) that

$$v(t) = v(0) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t b(\eta) d\eta\right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t c(\xi) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_\xi^t b(\eta) d\eta\right) d\xi. \quad (2.6)$$

Obviously, if $f(t, u)$ and $K(t, s, u)$ has continuous partial derivatives in u , respectively, on $I \times \mathbb{R}$ and $I \times I \times \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left| \int_0^t c(\xi) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\xi}^t b(\eta) d\eta\right) d\xi \right| \leq C.$$

Hence, we can conclude that (2.2) is a direct consequence of (2.5), (2.6). \square

3 Discretization and mesh

To obtain an approximation for (1.1), we integrate (1.1) over (t_{i-1}, t_i) :

$$h_i^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} Lu dt = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Using the quadrature rules in [25], we have

$$\varepsilon u_{i,i} + f(t_i, u_i) + \int_0^{t_i} K(t_i, s, u(s)) ds + R_i^{(1)} + R_i^{(2)} = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$R_i^{(1)} = -h_i^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (\xi - t_{i-1}) \frac{d}{d\xi} f(\xi, u(\xi)) d\xi$$

and

$$R_i^{(2)} = -h_i^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (\xi - t_{i-1}) \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\int_0^{\xi} K(\xi, s, u(s)) ds \right) d\xi.$$

Applying also (2.1) in [25] for $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ to the integral in (3.2), we obtain

$$\int_0^{t_i} K(t_i, s, u(s)) ds = \sum_{m=1}^i \frac{h_i}{2} [K(t_i, t_m, u_m) + K(t_i, t_{m-1}, u_{m-1})] + R_i^{(3)}, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$R_i^{(3)} = \sum_{m=1}^i \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_{m-\frac{1}{2}} - \xi) \frac{d}{d\xi} K(t_i, \xi, u(\xi)) d\xi.$$

It is clear from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$\varepsilon u_{i,i} + f(t_i, u_i) + \sum_{m=1}^i \frac{h_i}{2} [K(t_i, t_m, u_m) + K(t_i, t_{m-1}, u_{m-1})] + R_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad (3.4)$$

$$u_0 = A, \quad (3.5)$$

where the remainder term is

$$\begin{aligned} R_i = & -h_i^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (\xi - t_{i-1}) \frac{d}{d\xi} f(\xi, u(\xi)) d\xi - h_i^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (\xi - t_{i-1}) \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\int_0^{\xi} K(\xi, s, u(s)) ds \right) d\xi \\ & + \sum_{m=1}^i \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_{m-\frac{1}{2}} - \xi) \frac{d}{d\xi} K(t_i, \xi, u(\xi)) d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Neglecting R_i in (3.3), we may suggest the following difference scheme for approximating (1.1), (1.2):

$$\begin{aligned} L^h U_i &\equiv \varepsilon U_{i,i} + f(t_i, U_i) + \sum_{m=1}^i \frac{h_i}{2} [K(t_i, t_m, U_m) + K(t_i, t_{m-1}, U_{m-1})] \\ &= 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

$$U_0 = A. \quad (3.8)$$

For the difference scheme (3.7), (3.8) to be ε -uniform convergent, we will use a mesh that is graded inside the initial layer region. For an even number N , the graded mesh takes $N/2 + 1$ points in the interval $[0, \tau]$ and also $N/2 + 1$ points in the interval $[\tau, T]$, where the transition point τ , which separates the fine and coarse portions of the mesh, is obtained by taking

$$\tau = \min \{ T/2, \alpha^{-1} \varepsilon |\ln \varepsilon| \}. \quad (3.9)$$

In practice one usually has $\tau \ll T$, so the mesh is fine on $[0, \tau]$ and coarse on $[\tau, T]$. We shall consider a mesh ω_N which is equidistant in $[\tau, T]$ but graded in $[0, \tau]$ by a logarithmic mesh generating function (see [26, 27]). The corresponding mesh points are as follows:

$$\text{if } \tau < T/2, \quad t_i = \begin{cases} -\alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \ln[1 - (1 - \varepsilon)2i/N], & i = 0, \dots, N/2, \\ \tau + (i - N/2)h, & i = N/2 + 1, \dots, N \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\text{if } \tau = T/2, \quad t_i = \begin{cases} -\alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \ln[1 - (1 - \exp(-\frac{\alpha T}{2\varepsilon}))2i/N], & i = 0, \dots, N/2, \\ \tau + (i - N/2)h, & i = N/2 + 1, \dots, N, \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

where $h = 2(T - \tau)/N$.

We only consider the graded mesh defined by (3.9)-(3.11) in the remainder of the paper.

4 Stability and convergence of the difference scheme

Lemma 4.1 *Let the difference operator*

$$\ell U_i \equiv A_i U_i - B_i U_{i-1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N, \quad (4.1)$$

be given, where $A_i > 0$ and $B_i > 0$. Then we have the following:

- (i) *For the difference operator (4.1), the discrete maximum principle holds: If $\ell U_i \geq 0$, $i \geq 1$ and $U_0 \geq 0$, then $U_i \geq 0$, $i \geq 0$.*
- (ii) *If $A_i - B_i \geq \alpha > 0$, then the solution of the difference initial value problem*

$$\ell U_i = F_i, \quad i \geq 1,$$

$$U_0 = \mu$$

satisfies the estimate

$$\|U\|_\infty \leq |\mu| + \alpha^{-1} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |F_i|. \quad (4.2)$$

(iii) If $F_i \geq 0$ is nondecreasing and $A_i - B_i \geq \alpha > 0$, then

$$|U_i| \leq |\mu| + \alpha^{-1} F_i, \quad i \geq 1. \quad (4.3)$$

Proof See [23]. □

Lemma 4.2 Under condition

$$\alpha + \frac{h_i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial U} K(t_i, t_i, \gamma U_i) \geq \alpha_* > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (4.4)$$

for the difference operator

$$\ell^h U_i := \varepsilon U_{t,i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial U} f(t_i, \gamma U_i) U_i + \frac{h_i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial U} K(t_i, t_i, \gamma U_i) U_i \quad (4.5)$$

we have

$$\|U\|_\infty \leq |U_0| + \alpha_*^{-1} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\ell^h U_i|. \quad (4.6)$$

Proof Difference expression (4.5) can be rewritten as

$$\ell U_i \equiv A_i U_i - B_i U_{i-1},$$

where

$$A_i = \frac{\varepsilon}{h_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial U} f(t_i, \gamma U_i) + \frac{h_i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial U} K(t_i, t_i, \gamma U_i), \quad B_i = \frac{\varepsilon}{h_i}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$A_i \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{h_i} + \alpha + \frac{h_i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial U} K(t_i, t_i, \gamma U_i) > 0$$

and

$$B_i = \frac{\varepsilon}{h_i} > 0.$$

Since

$$A_i - B_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial U} f(t_i, \gamma U_i) + \frac{h_i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial U} K(t_i, t_i, \gamma U_i) > 0$$

by (4.3), (4.6) follows in view of (4.2). □

Now we will show stability for the difference problem (3.7)-(3.8).

Lemma 4.3 Let the difference operator $\ell^h U_i$ be defined by (4.5). Then for the difference problem (3.7)-(3.8) we have

$$|\ell^h U_i| \leq \|f\|_\infty + C \sum_{m=1}^i h_m |U_{m-1}|, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N. \quad (4.7)$$

Proof From (3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\ell^h U_i| &\leq |f(t_i, 0)| + \left| \sum_{m=1}^i \frac{h_i}{2} K(t_i, t_m, 0) \right| + \left| \sum_{m=1}^{i-1} \frac{h_i}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial U} K(t_i, t_m, \gamma U_m) U_m \right] \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{m=1}^i \frac{h_i}{2} \left[K(t_i, t_{m-1}, 0) + \frac{\partial}{\partial U} K(t_i, t_{m-1}, \gamma U_{m-1}) U_{m-1} \right] \right|. \end{aligned}$$

If we take into consideration that the kernel $K(t, s, u)$ is bounded, it can be concluded that the estimate (4.7) holds. \square

Lemma 4.4 *We assume that the condition (4.4) holds. Then for the solution of difference scheme (3.7)-(3.8), we have*

$$|U_i| \leq (\alpha_*^{-1} \|f\|_\infty + |A|) \exp(\alpha_*^{-1} C t_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq N. \quad (4.8)$$

Proof Let

$$V_i = \begin{cases} \sum_{m=1}^{i-1} h_i |U_{m-1}|, & i \geq 1, \\ 0, & i = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$V_{t,i} = |U_{i-1}|.$$

Thus, from the inequality (4.7), we have the following difference inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} |\ell^h U_i| &\leq C V_i + \|f\|_\infty, \\ U_0 &= A. \end{aligned}$$

Using the discrete maximum principle, we have

$$|U_i| \leq W_i,$$

where w_i is the solution of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \ell^h W_i &= C V_i + \|f\|_\infty, \\ W_0 &= |A|. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (4.2), it follows that

$$|U_i| \leq |W_i| \leq \alpha_*^{-1} C V_i + \alpha_*^{-1} \|f\|_\infty + |A| \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$V_{t,i} = |U_{i-1}| \leq \alpha_*^{-1} C V_{i-1} + \alpha_*^{-1} \|f\|_\infty + |A|.$$

Then application of the difference analog of the differential inequality gives

$$V_i \leq (\alpha_*^{-1} \|f\|_\infty + |A|) \alpha_* C^{-1} (\exp(\alpha_*^{-1} C t_i) - 1),$$

which together with (4.9) proves (4.8). \square

5 Uniform error estimates

To investigate the convergence of the method, note that the error function $z_i = U_i - u_i$, $0 \leq i \leq N$, is the solution of the discrete problem

$$\begin{aligned} L^h z_i &= \varepsilon z_{i,i} + [f(t_i, U_i) - f(t_i, u_i)] + \frac{h_i}{2} [K(t_i, t_i, U_i) - K(t_i, t_i, u_i)] \\ &\quad + \frac{h_i}{2} [K(t_i, t_{i-1}, U_{i-1}) - K(t_i, t_{i-1}, u_{i-1})] \\ &\quad + \tilde{K}_i = R_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

$$z_0 = 0, \quad (5.2)$$

where R_i is given by (3.6) and

$$\tilde{K}_i = \begin{cases} 0, & i = 1, \\ \sum_{m=1}^{i-1} \frac{h_i}{2} \{ [K(t_i, t_m, U_m) - K(t_i, t_m, u_m)] \\ \quad + [K(t_i, t_{m-1}, U_{m-1}) - K(t_i, t_{m-1}, u_{m-1})] \}, & i > 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.1 *Under the condition of Lemma 2.1, for the remainder term R_i of the scheme (3.7)-(3.8), the estimate*

$$\|R\|_{\infty, \omega_N} \leq CN^{-1} \quad (5.3)$$

holds.

Proof The remainder term of the scheme (3.7) can be rewritten as

$$R_i = R_i^{(1)} + R_i^{(2)} + R_i^{(3)},$$

where

$$R_i^{(1)} = -h_i^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (\xi - t_{i-1}) \frac{d}{d\xi} f(\xi, u(\xi)) d\xi, \quad (5.4)$$

$$R_i^{(2)} = -h_i^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (\xi - t_{i-1}) \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\int_0^\xi K(\xi, s, u(s)) ds \right) d\xi \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$R_i^{(3)} = \sum_{m=1}^i \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_{m-\frac{1}{2}} - \xi) \frac{d}{d\xi} K(t_i, \xi, u(\xi)) d\xi. \quad (5.6)$$

In view of Lemma 2.1, for an arbitrary mesh, it follows from (5.4), (5.5), and (5.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} |R_i^{(1)}| &\leq h_i^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (\xi - t_{i-1}) \left| \frac{d}{d\xi} f(\xi, u(\xi)) \right| d\xi \\ &= h_i^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (\xi - t_{i-1}) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} f(\xi, u(\xi)) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f(\xi, u(\xi)) u'(\xi) \right| d\xi \\ &\leq Ch_i^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (\xi - t_{i-1}) |1 + u'(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\leq C \left\{ h_i + \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} |u'(\xi)| d\xi \right\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |R_i^{(2)}| &\leq h_i^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (\xi - t_{i-1}) \left| \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\int_0^\xi K(\xi, s, u(s)) ds \right) \right| d\xi \\ &\leq h_i^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (\xi - t_{i-1}) \left| K(\xi, \xi, u(\xi)) + \int_0^\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} K(\xi, s, u(s)) ds \right| d\xi \\ &\leq Ch_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |R_i^{(3)}| &\leq \sum_{m=1}^i \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_{m-\frac{1}{2}} - \xi) \left| \frac{d}{d\xi} K(t_i, \xi, u(\xi)) \right| d\xi \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^i \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_{m-\frac{1}{2}} - \xi) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} K(t_i, \xi, u(\xi)) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u} K(t_i, \xi, u(\xi)) u'(\xi) \right| d\xi \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^i \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_{m-\frac{1}{2}} - \xi) |1 + u'(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\leq C \max_{1 \leq m \leq i} h_m \int_0^{t_i} (1 + u'(\xi)) d\xi \\ &\leq C \max_{1 \leq m \leq i} h_m, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

respectively, where

$$h_i = \begin{cases} -\alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \ln[1 - (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{2i}{N}] + \alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \ln[1 - (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{2(i-1)}{N}], & i = 1, \dots, N/2, \\ \frac{T-\tau}{N/2}, & i = N/2 + 1, \dots, N. \end{cases}$$

First, we consider the $\tau < T/2$ and estimate R_i on $[0, \tau]$ and $[\tau, T]$ separately. Then $\tau = -\alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \ln \varepsilon$. In the layer region $[0, \tau]$, we get

$$|R_i^{(1)}| \leq C \left\{ h_i + \alpha^{-1} \left(\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha t_{i-1}}{\varepsilon}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha t_i}{\varepsilon}\right) \right) \right\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N/2, \quad (5.10)$$

by (2.2). Since

$$\begin{aligned} h_i &= t_i - t_{i-1} = -\alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \ln \left[1 - (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{2i}{N} \right] + \alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \ln \left[1 - (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{2(i-1)}{N} \right] \\ &\leq 2\alpha^{-1} (1 - \varepsilon) N^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha t_{i-1}}{\varepsilon}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha t_i}{\varepsilon}\right) = 2(1 - \varepsilon)N^{-1},$$

it follows from (5.10) that

$$|R_i^{(1)}| \leq 4\alpha^{-1}CN^{-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N/2. \quad (5.11)$$

It follows from (5.8) and (5.9) that

$$|R_i^{(2)}| \leq Ch \leq C2\alpha^{-1}(1 - \varepsilon)N^{-1} \leq 2\alpha^{-1}CN^{-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N/2 \quad (5.12)$$

and

$$|R_i^{(3)}| \leq Ch_i + C\varepsilon^{-1}h_i \leq CN^{-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N/2, \quad (5.13)$$

respectively. From (5.11), (5.12), and (5.13) for the region $[0, \tau]$ we get

$$|R_i| \leq CN^{-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N/2. \quad (5.14)$$

In the layer region $[\tau, T]$, $|u'(x)| \leq C$ (or $\varepsilon^{-1} \exp(-\alpha x/\varepsilon) \leq 1$) by (2.2), and

$$|R_i^{(1)}| \leq Ch, \quad i = N/2 + 1, \dots, N.$$

In view of the above discussion, we get

$$|R_i^{(1)}| \leq 2TCN^{-1}, \quad i = N/2 + 1, \dots, N. \quad (5.15)$$

Similarly, it is clear that

$$|R_i^{(2)}| \leq 2TCN^{-1}, \quad i = N/2 + 1, \dots, N \quad (5.16)$$

and

$$|R_i^{(3)}| \leq 2TCN^{-1}, \quad i = N/2 + 1, \dots, N. \quad (5.17)$$

Combining the estimates (5.11), (5.12), and (5.13) for the region $[\tau, T]$, we get

$$|R_i| \leq CN^{-1}, \quad i = N/2 + 1, \dots, N. \quad (5.18)$$

Now we consider the case $\tau = T/2$. In this case, $T/2 < -\alpha^{-1}\varepsilon \ln \varepsilon$. Therefore, for $t_i \in [0, \tau]$ with (3.10), we can obtain similar results to that obtained above. For $t_i \in (\tau, T]$, since $h_i = h = 2(T - \tau)/N = T/N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{i=N/2+1, \dots, N} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \varepsilon^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha t}{\varepsilon}\right) dx &\leq h\varepsilon^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha T}{2\varepsilon}\right) \\ &\leq (2\alpha^{-1} \exp(-1)h)/T = 2C\alpha^{-1} \exp(-1)N^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (5.7), (5.8), and (5.9) that

$$|R_i^{(1)}| \leq C(T + 2\exp(-1)\alpha^{-1})N^{-1}, \quad i = N/2 + 1, \dots, N, \quad (5.19)$$

$$|R_i^{(2)}| \leq Ch \leq CN^{-1}, \quad i = N/2 + 1, \dots, N, \quad (5.20)$$

$$|R_i^{(3)}| \leq Ch + C\varepsilon^{-1}h \leq CN^{-1}, \quad i = N/2 + 1, \dots, N, \quad (5.21)$$

respectively. When we combine the estimates (5.19), (5.20), and (5.21), we get

$$|R_i| \leq CN^{-1}, \quad i = N/2 + 1, \dots, N. \quad (5.22)$$

From (5.14), (5.18), and (5.22), it is easy to see that (5.3) holds. \square

Lemma 5.2 *Under condition (4.4) and Lemma 5.1, the solution z_i of problem (5.1)-(5.2) satisfies*

$$\|z\|_{\infty, \varpi_N} \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |R_i|. \quad (5.23)$$

Proof Using intermediate value theorem for the problem (3.7)-(3.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} L^h z_i &= \varepsilon z_{i,i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f(t_i, u_i + \gamma z_i) z_i + \frac{h_i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} K(t_i, t_i, u_i + \gamma z_i) z_i \\ &\quad + \frac{h_i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} K(t_i, t_{i-1}, u_{i-1} + \gamma z_{i-1}) z_{i-1} + \tilde{K} \\ &= R_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

$$z_0 = 0, \quad (5.25)$$

where

$$\tilde{K} = \begin{cases} 0, & i = 1, \\ \sum_{m=1}^{i-1} \frac{h_i}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial u} K(t_i, t_m, u_m + \gamma z_m) z_m + \frac{\partial}{\partial u} K(t_i, t_{m-1}, u_{m-1} + \gamma z_{m-1}) z_{m-1} \right], & i > 1. \end{cases}$$

If we apply Lemma 4.4 to (5.24)-(5.25), then we see the validity of the inequality (5.23). \square

Combining the two previous lemmas gives us the following main result.

Theorem 5.3 *Suppose that the conditions of Lemma 5.1 and (4.4) are satisfied and u is the solution of problem (1.1), (1.2). Then the following ε -uniform convergence result holds for the solution U of the difference problem (3.7), (3.8) on the mesh (3.9)-(3.11):*

$$\|U - u\|_{\infty, \varpi_N} \leq CN^{-1}.$$

6 Numerical results

In this section, we test the performance of the difference problem (3.7), (3.8). It is clear that the difference problem (3.7), (3.8) is a nonlinear problem. When we solve such problems, nonlinear equations arise in each step. There are several methods for solving these kinds

of nonlinear equations. One of these methods is quasi-linearization. Quasi-linearization is a method like Newton's method (see, e.g., [28]). This method amounts to linearizing the nonlinear terms in the nonlinear problems. A quasi-linearization procedure defines a sequence of linear problems whose solutions converge to that of the given nonlinear problems. For convergence of this method, one can refer to [28, 29]. If we use this method for the difference problem (3.7), (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon U_{i,i}^{(n)} + f(t_i, U_i^{(n-1)}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial U} f(t_i, U_i^{(n-1)})(U_i^{(n)} - U_i^{(n-1)}) \\ & + \sum_{m=1}^i \frac{h_i}{2} \left[K(t_i, t_m, U_m^{(n-1)}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial U} K(t_i, t_m, U_m^{(n-1)})(U_m^{(n)} - U_m^{(n-1)}) \right. \\ & \left. + K(t_i, t_{m-1}, U_{m-1}^{(n-1)}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial U} K(t_i, t_{m-1}, U_{m-1}^{(n-1)})(U_{m-1}^{(n)} - U_{m-1}^{(n-1)}) \right] \\ & = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

$$U_0^{(n)} = A. \quad (6.2)$$

Here, we obtain the following iteration process:

$$U_i^{(n)} = \frac{A_i U_{i-1}^{(n)} + B_i U_i^{(n-1)} - C_i - \tilde{K}_i}{\frac{\varepsilon}{h_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial U} f(t_i, U_i^{(n-1)}) + \frac{h_i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial U} K(t_i, t_i, U_i^{(n-1)})}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (6.3)$$

$$U_0^{(n)} = A, \quad (6.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &= \frac{\varepsilon}{h_i}, \quad B_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial U} f(t_i, U_i^{(n-1)}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial U} K(t_i, t_i, U_i^{(n-1)}), \\ C_i &= f(t_i, U_i^{(n-1)}) + \frac{h_i}{2} [K(t_i, t_i, U_i^{(n-1)}) + K(t_i, t_{i-1}, U_{i-1}^{(n-1)})], \\ \tilde{K}_i &= \begin{cases} 0, & i = 1, \\ \sum_{m=1}^{i-1} \frac{h_i}{2} [K(t_i, t_m, U_m^{(n-1)}) + K(t_i, t_{m-1}, U_{m-1}^{(n-1)})], & i > 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and $U_i^{(0)}$ is given.

We apply the difference scheme (3.7), (3.8) to the following Volterra integro-differential equation:

$$\varepsilon u'(t) + u^3(t) + 3u(t) + \int_0^t u^2(s) ds = e^{-\frac{3t}{\varepsilon}} - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon e^{-\frac{2t}{\varepsilon}} + 2e^{-\frac{t}{\varepsilon}} + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon$$

with $u(0) = 1$. The exact solution of the equation is $u(t) = e^{-\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}$. Some computational results are presented in Table 1. We also calculate the experimental rate of uniform convergence p as follows:

$$p^N = \frac{\ln(e^N / e^{2N})}{\ln 2},$$

Table 1 Approximate errors e^N and computed orders of convergence p^N on $\bar{\omega}_N$ for various values of ε and N

ε	$N = 16$	$N = 32$	$N = 64$	$N = 128$	$N = 256$	$N = 512$
10^{-1}	0.048432 0.93	0.025428 0.94	0.013244 0.95	0.006847 0.95	0.003533 0.97	0.001797
10^{-2}	0.049452 0.94	0.015768 0.97	0.013189 0.98	0.006691 0.99	0.003367 0.99	0.001691
10^{-3}	0.050015 0.94	0.026007 0.97	0.013277 0.98	0.006723 0.99	0.003381 0.99	0.001697
10^{-4}	0.050137 0.94	0.026058 0.97	0.013295 0.98	0.006730 0.99	0.003384 0.99	0.001698
10^{-5}	0.050146 0.94	0.026062 0.97	0.013297 0.98	0.006733 0.99	0.003385 0.99	0.001698
10^{-6}	0.050149 0.94	0.026063 0.97	0.013297 0.98	0.006734 0.99	0.003385 0.99	0.001698

where

$$e^N = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |U_i - u_i|.$$

The obtained results show that the convergence rate of the difference scheme (3.7), (3.8) is essentially in accord with the theoretical analysis.

7 Conclusion

A nonlinear Volterra integro-differential equation was considered. We solved this equation by using a finite difference scheme on an appropriate graded mesh which is dense in the initial layer. We showed that the method shows uniform convergence with respect to the perturbation parameter for the numerical approximation of the solution. Numerical results which support the theoretical results were presented.

Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

The author are indebted to Professor Gabil M Amiraliyev for various valuable suggestions and constructive criticism. Moreover, the author wishes to thank the anonymous referees for their very useful comments and suggestions.

Received: 20 May 2013 Accepted: 4 June 2014 Published: 23 June 2014

References

- Angell, JS, Olmstead, WE: Singularly perturbed Volterra integral equations II. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **47**, 1-14 (1987)
- Hoppensteadt, FC: An algorithm for approximate solutions to weakly filtered synchronous control systems and nonlinear renewal processes. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **43**, 834-843 (1983)
- Jordan, GS: A nonlinear singularly perturbed Volterra integrodifferential equation of nonconvolution type. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb., Sect. A* **80**, 235-247 (1978)
- Lodge, AS, McLeod, JB, Nohel, JA: A nonlinear singularly perturbed Volterra integrodifferential equation occurring in polymer rheology. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb., Sect. A* **80**, 99-137 (1978)
- Angell, JS, Olmstead, WE: Singular perturbation analysis of an integrodifferential equation modelling filament stretching. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **36**, 487-490 (1985)
- Angell, JS, Olmstead, WE: Singularly perturbed Volterra integral equations. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **47**, 1150-1162 (1987)
- Bijura, AM: Singularly perturbed Volterra integro-differential equations. *Quaest. Math.* **25**, 229-248 (2002)
- Doolan, EP, Miller, JJ, Schilders, WHA: *Uniform Numerical Methods for Problems with Initial and Boundary Layer*. Boole, Dublin (1980)
- Farrel, PA, Hegarty, AF, Miller, JJH, O'Riordan, E, Shishkin, GI: *Robust Computational Techniques for Boundary Layers*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton (2000)
- Miller, JJH, O'Riordan, E, Shishkin, GI: *Fitted Numerical Methods for Singular Perturbation Problems*. World Scientific, Singapore (1996)
- Roos, HG, Stynes, M, Tobiska, L: *Numerical Methods for Singularly Perturbed Differential Equation: Convection-Diffusion and Flow Problems*. Springer, Berlin (1996)

12. Roos, HG, Stynes, M, Tobiska, L: Robust Numerical Methods for Singularly Perturbed Differential Equations. Springer, Berlin (2008)
13. Kauthen, JP: Implicit Runge-Kutta methods for some integrodifferential-algebraic equations. *Appl. Numer. Math.* **13**, 125-134 (1993)
14. Kauthen, JP: Implicit Runge-Kutta methods for singularly perturbed integro-differential systems. *Appl. Numer. Math.* **18**, 201-210 (1995)
15. Kauthen, JP: A survey of singularly perturbed Volterra equations. *Appl. Numer. Math.* **24**, 95-114 (1997)
16. Salama, AA, Evans, DJ: Fourth order scheme of exponential type singularly perturbed Volterra integro-differential equations. *Int. J. Comput. Math.* **77**, 153-164 (2001)
17. Horvat, V, Rogina, M: Tension spline collocation methods for singularly perturbed Volterra integro-differential and Volterra integral equations. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **140**, 381-402 (2002)
18. Parand, K, Rad, JA: An approximation algorithm for the solution of the singularly perturbed Volterra integro-differential and Volterra integral equations. *Int. J. Nonlinear Sci.* **12**, 430-441 (2011)
19. Ramos, JI: Piecewise-quazilinearization techniques for singularly perturbed Volterra integro-differential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **188**, 1221-1233 (2007)
20. Salama, AA, Bakr, AA: Difference schemes of exponential type for singularly perturbed Volterra integro-differential problems. *Appl. Math. Model.* **31**, 866-879 (2007)
21. Wu, S, Gan, S: Errors of linear multistep methods for singularly perturbed Volterra delay-integro-differential equations. *Math. Comput. Simul.* **79**, 3148-3159 (2009)
22. Linss, T: Layer-Adapted Meshes for Reaction-Convection-Diffusion Problems. Springer, Berlin (2010)
23. Amiraliyev, GM, Şevgin, S: Uniform difference method for singularly perturbed Volterra integro-differential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **179**, 731-741 (2006)
24. Courant, R: Differential and Integral Calculus, vol. II. Interscience, New York (1936)
25. Amiraliyev, GM, Mamedov, YD: Difference schemes on the uniform mesh for singularly perturbed pseudo-parabolic equations. *Turk. J. Math.* **19**, 207-222 (1995)
26. Amiraliyev, GM, Kudu, M, Duru, H: Uniform difference method for a parameterized singular perturbation problem. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **175**, 89-100 (2006)
27. Amiraliyev, GM: The convergence of a finite difference method on layer-adapted mesh for a singularly perturbed system. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **162**, 1023-1034 (2005)
28. Ascher, UM, Mattheij, RM, Russell, RD: Numerical Solution of Boundary Value Problems for Ordinary Differential Equations. SIAM, Philadelphia (1995)
29. Cakir, M, Amiraliyev, GM: Numerical solution of a singularly perturbed three-point boundary value problem. *Int. J. Comput. Math.* **84**, 1465-1481 (2007)

doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2014-171

Cite this article as: Şevgin: Numerical solution of a singularly perturbed Volterra integro-differential equation. *Advances in Difference Equations* 2014 **2014**:171.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com